

MANDAN REMEDIATION TRUST (MRT)
September 6, 2016

Meeting: 275th Official Meeting
Date: September 6, 2016
Location: Mandan City Hall, 205 2nd Ave. NW
Time: 10:00 A.M.

The MRT meeting was called to order by Jim Neubauer. Francis Schwindt and Dave Glatt were present. Scott Radig and Marilyn Mertz, North Dakota Department of Health, were present.

Minutes. August 2, 2016 MRT minutes, as amended, were discussed.

Motion. Glatt moved to approve the August 2, 2016 minutes as amended; second by Schwindt. All ayes. Motion carried.

BND. The BND statement for the period ending July 31, 2016 has an ending balance of \$6,446,382.29. All approved disbursements have been paid. No issues on the BND statement.

Pay Request. We have LBG's statement dated August 18, 2016 which covers services through July 31, 2016 of \$14,698.49. Any issues?

Radig indicated it looked pretty normal to him.

Motion. Motion by Glatt; second by Schwindt to approve LBG's invoice dated August 18, 2016 for \$14,698.49. All ayes. Motion carried.

Pay Request. We have the city of Mandan's industrial wastewater charges statement dated August 31, 2016 covering the month of July for 44,800 cu. ft. for \$716.81.

Motion. Glatt moved to pay city of Mandan's wastewater treatment plant's bill for \$716.81; second by Schwindt. All ayes. Motion carried.

Pay Request. We have the Brady Martz audit report for the year-end of December 31, 2015. Generally, we have always split that as 70 percent MRT and 30 percent SEPT. The MRT's shared portion is \$2,835.

Motion. Glatt moved to approve the MRT portion of the 2015 audit bill of \$2,835; second by Schwindt. All ayes. Motion carried.

Neubauer – Were there any questions on the audit report, which was sent out in August? Or is it the same as always, no issues. We don't have enough money to

consider paying some of the separations that they request. I'm looking at the financial statement and bank statement every month.

Schwindt – It looks like the same report they have been giving.

Motion. Glatt moved to accept the 2015 audit that was done by Brady Martz; second by Schwindt. All ayes. Motion carried.

Fire Station Bay. There was the question about the early dismantling of the bay over at the Fire Station so they could use it for fire equipment rather than remediation. I don't know what additional costs it might take to shut stuff down early vs. everything all at once. That really wasn't thrown out there. How much interest is there from the City or the Fire Department to get that taken care of?

Neubauer – If you ask the Fire Department they say always the sooner would be better. My question would be is how much money is it going to cost us to reroute?

Radig – I haven't received anything back yet. They are going to have to re-program some of the automatic system and reroute certain things.

Glatt – How much longer do we have on that one?

Schwindt – I'm hoping that a couple more years on the whole system and we will be done.

Neubauer – When I talked to the Fire Chief, it was last August, if they needed the bay. They said, we would like it now. I kind of expected that answer, but it is going to cost me a \$100,000 to redo stuff then I think we can wait a year or two. If it is going to cost a \$1,000 to reroute stuff then we can do that.

Radig – I think it is going to be closer to your first figure than the second one. I haven't heard anything specifically.

Glatt – How critical is it that they need that space? Obviously, they would like to have it. They will use it once they get it.

Neubauer – If it is a matter of about \$100,000 we could use that money elsewhere. Move that process along if that makes sense.

Glatt – Do they want a response back from the MRT board on that or is it just a question?

Neubauer – As I read the note from LBG it was we can do this—give up that bay. Our question probably hasn't been asked yet says give us a rough estimate. If it is going to be \$5 grand maybe we do it, but if it is going to be \$100 grand then I guess....

Glatt – Give us a ballpark figure. Don't give us the tentative engineering plans because that is going to cost us a lot more money. If your best estimate is going to be less than \$10,000 or greater let us know.

Neubauer – LBG will spend an hour or two and this is what it is going to cost. It may cost \$500 for them to look at it and say it is going to cost them a \$100,000 to do.

Glatt – If the ballpark isn't greater than \$75,000.

Schwindt – I guess I wouldn't have a problem asking for a ballpark number, but I think we need to make it plain that we want a ballpark number not a full engineering spec.

Neubauer – LBG would eliminate the time they spend on it. If you can give us a ballpark in a couple of hours that is fine.

Glatt – Without engineering plans and give a cost.

Schwindt – I don't know if there needs to be any changes to the plumbing or just in the programming.

Radig – I don't know how interconnected all that stuff is, if they have to actually go in and do any hard changes.

Schwindt – I'm thinking they probably would in order to eliminate those pumps. They have to re-hook them into the system beyond where the pumps are and pull the pumps out.

Neubauer – I haven't heard anything to come back at this point to use a camera—camera lines.

Radig – I haven't heard anything specific. I thought they were going to contact Jeff [Wright].

Schwindt – Haven't heard anything from Jeff or anybody?

Neubauer – No.

Schwindt – I haven't checked with Rusty Krikava so I am not aware of any particular issues that are going on. He hasn't said anything more.

Neubauer – I assume that they are starting to run out of space on valves,...manifolds. They were keeping all of this over at the main building.

Schwindt – There are quite a few things over there--electrical panels and all that kind of stuff. We did get rid of some of them and some of the monitoring well covers

and a bunch of that stuff. We did get rid of a bunch of that so it kind of cleaned the area up again.

Neubauer – Are we keeping some of those well covers?

Schwindt -- Yes, they still have a stack of them there. They didn't want any more.

Neubauer – Are we in need of a disposal plan on those?

Radig – Iron has a salvage value.

Neubauer – LBG doesn't have a project going on in the state?

Radig – Well, people that are building new, remediation systems you don't want to put in old stuff that you are going to have to replace on a schedule other than your other parts of the system. There is only one other big project going on and that is up in Minot. It's kind of a mini system something like this one.

Schwindt – I guess going back to our previous discussion, if there was a way to eliminate the Fire Station and this one over here and run everything back to the main building that to me would be maybe worth pursuing. This middle building is the one that is operating all the system in here.

Neubauer – Something we have to start thinking about next spring to shut down the next phase or is it too early yet?

Schwindt -- I guess I haven't seen any data that says any particular area is ready to stop yet.

Radig – I would wait until the go around next spring and see what it looks like. It will probably be another year.

Schwindt – Because It seems like we have those little isolated pockets still scattered everywhere.

Glatt – I don't know how we are going to get rid of those.

Neubauer – When is that next monitoring? My concern is if we would hope to shut down another glob of wells, wait until next spring to get those results back and then trying to get contractors lined up to do the work in the summer.

Schwindt – If we follow the same procedure that we did over here we shut them down and then we let them set for a year before we actually did anything.

Neubauer – We don't have any of those yet?

Schwindt – Not right now, no.

Neubauer – So it would be 2 years before we move forward. I was looking at next spring as another series of closeout stuff.

Schwindt – There would be another event this fall yet where they might get some areas that are not looking good. You didn't hear anything as far as the summer monitoring event?

Radig – No, I haven't heard anything or seen a new map.

Neubauer – Are we doing that soon--monitoring event before freeze up?

Radig – They usually do that in October. Anything after Halloween you can't be sure that you are not going to be fighting snow.

Neubauer – And we will continue to work with the county on a tree over there. It looks like spring would be the best plan. Jackie Buckley is handling that.

Schwindt – That was the discussion that we had this spring because of that construction over there she really didn't want to. Of course, we still had our building still there. I can follow up with her next spring and find out for sure what she wants and when she wants to do it.

No Further Business.

Next Meeting. October 4, 2016 at 10 a.m.

November Meeting. Thursday, November 3, 2016 at 10 a.m.

Motion to Adjourn. Glatt moved to adjourn at 10:25 a.m. Second by Schwindt. All ayes. Motion carried.